## RACINE COUNTY SART CASE REVIEW

			Case Info	ormation
			❖ Date of r	reporting/ service:
E'I NI I				
File Number:				
Case Review Date:				
Police Jurisdiction:				
_		-	ect D.O.B	
Relationship betwee	-			
☐ Family member: _		□ Sp	ouse/Partner:	
☐ Friend/ Acquaint	tance:	Str	anger	er:
Officer/ Investigator	r:			
SANE:				
Advocate:				
Prosecutor:				
Officer Notification	b. In person o	contact with victin		□No □ Unknown □No □ Unknown □No
	b. In person o	ct arrested:		
How many officers	b. In person o	et arrested:	n: Yes Yes	□ No □ Unknown
How many officers a. Line Officer	b. In person of c. Was suspected responded?	et arrested:	n:	□ No □ Unknown
How many officers	b. In person of c. Was suspected responded?	Yes Superior Street Str	n: Yes Yes	□ No □ Unknown
How many officers in a. Line Officer  b. Detective/Inv.  c. Forensic/Evic	b. In person of c. Was suspected.  responded?  vestigator dence Tech	Yes C	n:	□ No □ Unknown
How many officers of a. Line Officer  b. Detective/Inv  c. Forensic/Evic Comments:	b. In person of c. Was suspected.  responded?  vestigator dence Tech  ulled?	Yes C Yes C Yes C No	n:	No Unknown No  Dynamicable Unknown
How many officers of a. Line Officer  b. Detective/Inv  c. Forensic/Evic Comments:	b. In person of c. Was suspected.  responded?  vestigator dence Tech  ulled?	Yes	n:	No Unknown No  Poplicable Unknown wn
How many officers of a. Line Officer  b. Detective/Inv  c. Forensic/Evic Comments:  Was an Advocate ca  Did the Advocate resp Comments:	b. In person of c. Was suspected.  responded?  vestigator dence Tech  ulled?  pond?  Yes	Yes	n:	No Unknown No  Poplicable Unknown wn

				110t11ppneusit	Unknown
Comm	ents:				
Was a	CAC interview completed?	□Yes □Yes	□ No □	Not Applicable	
Prosecution	on•				
a.		osecution?	□Yes	$\square_{\mathrm{No}}$	☐ Unknown
b.	Was the response prompt?	Yes	□ No	☐ Unknown	
	Comments:				
c.	Was there Victim/ Witness no	otification?	□Yes	□ No	☐ Unknown
d.	Was there Victim/Witness an	d or DA conta	_	_	☐ Unknown
e.	Was there a trial? Result of case?		Yes	$\square$ No	
Was the n	Law enforcement? You i. Follow up suggestion	es	No 🔲	Unknown  necessary?	s 🗖 No
	Was CAC Was a Grant Comm Prosecution a. b. c. d. e.	Prosecution:  a. Was the case accepted for probability.  b. Was the response prompt?  Comments:  c. Was there Victim/ Witness and d. Was there Victim/Witness and e. Was there a trial?  Result of case?  Was the multi-jurisdictional protocol and the probability.  a. Law enforcement?  Year i. Follow up suggestion	Was CAC examination completed?	Was CAC examination completed?	Was CAC examination completed?

b.		Follow up suggestion to Advocate necessary?  If yes please identify issue(s):		$\square_{ m No}$
c.	SANE i.	Follow up suggestion to SANE necessary?  If yes please identify issue(s):	Not Applicable  Yes	□ No
d.		Follow up suggestion to Prosecution necessary?  If yes please identify issue(s):	☐ Ye	
				_
e.		f applicable?	☐ Yes	□No
f.		Fapplicable?	☐ Yes	□No
Attach	n any ad	ditional paperwork if necessary for any of the foll	low up suggesti	ions above.
13. <b>Was t</b>	he victi	m given a Crime Victim Compensation Application  Yes No Not App		known

14.	14. Was victim cooperative with the investigation and prosecution?	tion?		
	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Unkno	own		
15.	15. Does it appear that the victim's needs were adequately met?			
	□Yes □ No □ Unkno	Own		
	If no, what could have been done to better meet the victim's needs?			
16.	16. Does it appear that all law enforcement, investigative and prosecu	_		
	adequately met?	<b>□</b> Unknown		
17.	17. Does it appear that all SANE/CAC needs were adequately met?  \[ \begin{align*} \text{Yes} & \begin{align*} \text{No} & \begin{align*} \text{Not Applied} \end{align*}	able Unknown		
	Comments:			
Additio	ditional Comments:			
Updated	ated 7/9/2008			

Wisconsin Adult Sexual Assault Response Team Protocol (pp. 66-69) by Wisconsin Coalition Against Sexual Assault, 2011. Retrieved from https://www.wcasa.org/file\_open.php?id=203 Reprinted with permission.